



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE ,	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/611,229	07/06/2000	Tomonari Sendai	Q58683	4828
7590 05/10/2004			EXAMINER	
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW			SMITH, RUTH S	
	Washington, DC 20037-3202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/611,229	SENDAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ruth S Smith	3737				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>6</u>	05 March 2004.					
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for all						
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> is/are pending in the applica	ition.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,5,12-18,20,26,	4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,5,12-18,20,26,28,34,36,42,50 and 60 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6,8,9,11,45,46,48,49,54-56</u>	<u>,58,59 and 64-66</u> is/are rejec	ted.				
7) Claim(s) <u>7,10,19,21-25,27,29-33,35,37-41</u>		3 is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 March 2004</u> is/a	ire: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	bjected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents.		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents.		Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
application from the International Bu						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	, <del>_</del>					

Application/Control Number: 09/611,229

Art Unit: 3737

### **Drawings**

The drawings were received on March 5, 2004. These drawings are acceptable.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1,3,4,6,45,46,54,55,56,64-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admission of the prior art in view of Tischler et al. Applicant discloses that it is known to use a diagnostic instrument for acquiring fluorescence emitted from a sample by irradiation of excitation light to obtain information regarding the sample. Applicant further discloses that the prior art instrument is incorporated into an endoscope, colposcope etc. The prior art device includes visible light irradiation means and means for forming an image from this light. The excitation light is used during a period when the visible light is not being used. Tischler et al disclose the use of a GaN-based laser that can have application as an excitation source for spectroscopic analysis (Column 7, lines 38-45). Tischler et al disclose that all possible crystal forms are included. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art

Art Unit: 3737

to have modified the prior art device disclosed by applicant such that it includes the laser disclosed by Tischler et al. Such a modification merely involves the substitution of one well known type of excitation source in a spectroscopic system for another. With regard to claims 2,3, it is well known in that art to use either pulsed excitation or continuous excitation and the choice of either would have been obvious.

Claims 8-9,11,48,49,58,59,66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admission of the prior art in view of Tischler et al as applied to claims 3,4 above, and further in view of Studholme et al. Studholme et al disclose a fluorescence observing device. Column 8, lines 27-60 refer to how the laser is driven in accordance with the limitations set forth in claims 8,9,11. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have further modified the prior art device such that the laser is driven as disclosed by Studholme et al. Such a modification merely involves the substitution of one known manner in which to drive the laser during operation for another.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7,10,19,21-25,27,29-33,35,37-41,43,44,47,51-53,57,61-63 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,3,4,6,8-9,11,45,46,48,49,54-56,58,59,64-66 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth S Smith whose telephone number is (703) 308-3063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 AM- 2:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3737

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ruth S Smith

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3737

**RSS**